

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
TYLER DIVISION**

**GARNET DIGITAL, LLC**

§  
§  
§

**V.**

**CASE NO. 6:13-cv-642  
(Lead Case for Consolidation)**

**YAMAHA CORPORATION OF  
AMERICA**

§  
§

---

**GARNET DIGITAL, LLC**

§  
§  
§

**V.**

**CASE NO. 6:13-cv-645**

**D&M HOLDINGS U.S., INC., ET AL.**

§

---

**GARNET DIGITAL, LLC**

§  
§  
§

**V.**

**CASE NO. 6:13-cv-646**

**DIRECTV, ET AL.**

§

---

**GARNET DIGITAL, LLC**

§  
§  
§

**V.**

**CASE NO. 6:13-cv-647**

**DISH NETWORK, LLC, ET AL.**

§

---

**GARNET DIGITAL, LLC**

§  
§  
§

**V.**

**CASE NO. 6:13-cv-649**

**LOGITECH, INC.**

§

---

**GARNET DIGITAL, LLC**

§  
§  
§

**V.**

**CASE NO. 6:13-cv-650**

**NETGEAR, INC.**

§

**GARNET DIGITAL, LLC**

V.

**ONKYO U.S.A. CORPORATION**

**CASE NO. 6:13-cv-651**

---

**GARNET DIGITAL, LLC**

V.

**PANASONIC CORPORATION OF  
NORTH AMERICA**

**CASE NO. 6:13-cv-652**

---

**GARNET DIGITAL, LLC**

V.

**PIONEER ELECTRONICS (USA), INC.**

**CASE NO. 6:13-cv-653**

---

**GARNET DIGITAL, LLC**

V.

**SEAGATE TECHNOLOGY, LLC**

**CASE NO. 6:13-cv-655**

---

**GARNET DIGITAL, LLC**

V.

**TIVO, INC.**

**CASE NO. 6:13-cv-656**

---

**GARNET DIGITAL, LLC**

V.

**WESTERN DIGITAL TECHNOLOGIES,  
INC.**

**CASE NO. 6:13-cv-658**

---

## **CONSOLIDATION ORDER**

The passage of the Leahy-Smith America Invents Act (“AIA”), which clarified the joinder requirements for cases alleging patent infringement, has resulted in a significant increase in the number of “serially” filed patent cases on the Court’s docket. Similarly, the Federal Circuit’s recent *In re EMC Corp.* decision leads to a nearly analogous result for pre-AIA filings because multi-defendant cases may be severed “[u]nless there is an actual link between the facts underlying each claim of infringement.” 677 F.3d 1351, 1360 (Fed. Cir. 2012). Such serially filed or severed cases, by their nature, involve common issues of law or fact, including claim construction and validity. “If actions before the Court involve a common question of law or fact, the court may: (1) join for hearing or trial any or all matters at issue in the actions; (2) consolidate the actions; or (3) issue any other orders to avoid unnecessary cost or delay.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 42(a). In applying Rule 42, a court has considerable discretion. *In re EMC Corp.*, 677 F.3d at 1360; *see also Lurea v. M/V Alberta*, 625 F.3d 181, 194 (5th Cir. 2011) (“Rule 42(a) provides district courts with broad authority to consolidate actions that ‘involve a common question of law or fact.’”). Because the above-styled cases involve a common question of law or fact, consolidation of the cases would promote efficient case management.

Accordingly, the Court **ORDERS** that the above-styled cases shall be consolidated for pretrial issues only, with the exception of venue. The earliest filed civil action shall serve as the lead case for consolidated issues. The Clerk of the Court shall add the consolidated defendants to the lead case, as well as Lead and Local Counsel only. Any other counsel who wishes to appear in the lead case shall file a notice of appearance in that case. The individual cases will remain active for venue motions and trial. Should the parties file motions to transfer or motions to sever and transfer, the Court will consider these motions only as to the defendants in the originally filed (member) cases, not as to all defendants in the pretrial consolidated case. *See*

*Norman*, 2012 WL 3307942, at \*4. All motions, other than venue motions, shall be filed in the consolidated case. The local rules' page limitations for *Markman* briefs and other motions will apply to the consolidated case. To further promote judicial economy and to conserve the parties' resources, the Court encourages the parties to file a notice with the Court in the event that there are other related cases currently pending on the Court's docket, as well as future cases Plaintiff intends to file, that may also be appropriate for consolidation with this case.

**So ORDERED and SIGNED this 31st day of January, 2014.**



LEONARD DAVIS  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE